

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/714,096	11/14/2003	Scott C. Harris	GPSPriv-CPD1/SCH	9519		
23844 7	590 02/23/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SCOTT C HARRIS			PHAN, DA	PHAN, DAO LINDA		
P O BOX 927649 SAN DIEGO, CA 92192			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3662			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary				HARRIS, SCOTT	C			
		10/714,09 Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>			
	•		ın.	3662				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Dao L. Pha			ldress			
Period fo								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no eve reply within the statu iod will apply and will itute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	January 2006	5.					
<i>'</i> —	a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-8,10-17 and 19-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14,15 and 27-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,10-13,16,17,19-26 and 30-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infon	r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/714,096

Art Unit: 3662

- 1. Amendment received on Jan. 9, 2006 has been entered in this application.
- 2. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-17, 19-26, 30-42 are examined. Claims 14-15, 27-29 are withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the information about "the position" is sent to the remote server) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 4. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., position information...based on said information from said remote server) are not recited in the rejected claim 1. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- 5. In Pat. No. 6,700,534, claim 1 requires a position block part, forming false information. Claim 2 requires a position block part prevents said information from being sent. Claim 4 defines that at least one the items of information is information from a local transponder. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-17, 19-26, 30-42 of the pending application, which eliminate features "a position block part, and at least one the items of information is information from a local transponder" are merely an obvious variation of claims 1-2, 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,534. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Application/Control Number: 10/714,096

Art Unit: 3662

not patentably distinct from each other because with or without a position blocking part, and one of the items of information is information from a local transponder in the copending application, the instant application would also work.

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-17, 19-26, 30-42 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,534. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because with or without a position blocking part, and one of the items of information is information from a local transponder in the copending application, the instant application would also work.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/714,096

Art Unit: 3662

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-17, 19-26, 30-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kuzunuki et al (US 2005/0144049) or Necher (US 2001/0026240).

Kuzunuki et al teach a system and a method including a portable computer having a processor 10-3, an information detection part 10-4 and a communication part 10-2, the portable computer acquiring 50-3a at least a plurality of items of information that are sensed by the location detection part, and the communication part 10-2 communicating the plurality of items of information to a remote server 50, and obtaining 10-3 current position information, indicative of a user's current position, which position information is based on the information from the remote server.

Necher teaches a system and a method including a portable computer having a processor 54, an information detection part 76 and a communication part 64, 62 the portable computer acquiring at least a plurality of items of information that are sensed by the location detection part, and the communication part 64, 62 communicating the plurality of items of information to a remote server, and obtaining (54; fig. 5) current position information, indicative of a user's current position, which position information is based on the information from the remote server.

10. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 16-17, 19-26, 30-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maeda et al (Pat. No. 6,226,589) or McMahan (Pat. No. 6,437,735).

Maeda et al teach a system and a method including a portable computer having a processor 25, an information detection part 21 and a communication part 23, the

portable computer acquiring at least a plurality of items of information that are sensed by the location detection part 21, and the communication part 23 communicating the plurality of items of information to a remote server 3, and obtaining current position information, indicative of a user's current position, which position information is based on the information from the remote server.

McMahan teaches a system and a method including a portable computer having a processor 122, an information detection part 164 and a communication part 162, the portable computer acquiring 304 at least a plurality of items of information that are sensed by the location detection part, and the communication part communicating the plurality of items of information to a remote server 202, and obtaining 312 current position information, indicative of a user's current position, which position information is based on the information from the remote server.

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,096 Page 6

Art Unit: 3662

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dao L. Phan whose telephone number is (571)272-6976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571)272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A resultation and the value sections